

Harla Journal of Sustainable Development in Business and Economics



Harla journal of Sustainable Development in Business and Economics

Journal home page: https://journals.ddu.edu.et/index.php/HJSDBE

Effectiveness of Community-Based Health Insurance in Ethiopia: Challenges and Prospects Tariku Negasa Gida Accounting & Finance Department, Wollega University, Nekemte, Ethiopia. Email: <u>negasa2013@gmail.com</u> Telephone: +251913420841

Abstract

Access to healthcare services is an essential for maintaining and improving health of citizen. Good health is a key to sustained economic, social development and poverty reduction. In developing countries, Community Based Health Insurance schemes (CBHI) are expected as a transitional mechanism to achieving the goals of Universal Healthcare Coverage program; i.e. all people should have equitable access to health services, improved quality of health services, and financial-risk protection. CBHI program is a form of financing healthcare for poor and rural people based on riskpooling approach that spread healthcare expenditures across community (member households) with different health profiles. The schemes are built upon the principles of social solidarity and common benefits to provide financial protection against medical expenses. This study aims to analyze the effectiveness of community-based health insurance in Ethiopia from Universal Healthcare Coverage goals perspective. The study employed a qualitative research design by relies on secondary sources which were collected from credible and reliable online sources, i.e. published articles and newspapers. The study shows that the schemes are successful on some of effectiveness indicator variables; such as financial risk protection and improved utilization of health services, whereas the schemes are ineffective and unsuccessful on the variables/indicators like enrolment rate, revenue mobilization, equitable access to healthcare services, and improves healthcare services. The study also reveals that the major challenges that limits the effectiveness of the schemes are; adverse selection due to voluntary membership to the scheme, limited risk pool which is created due to limited enrolment and some dropout, poor financial risk protection due to low risk pooling and revenue collection, lack of quality service that discouraging people to joining the scheme and limits them from renewal of membership, and lack of professional and standardized management that comes from lack of skilled manpower and low commitments from the stakeholders. Keywords:

Community-Based Health Insurance, Effectiveness, Ethiopian, Universal Healthcare Coverage, Challenges, Prospects

DOI:

^{*}Corresponding author: Tariku Negasa Gida. negasa2013@gmail.com

1.INTRODUCTION

In developing countries, the Governments are facing many challenges in providing access to equitable healthcare for their citizens. The Government of African countries have trying to address the problem of access to healthcare through Government subsidies and cooperation with donors of international organizations and domestic non-government actors, even though they are unsuccessful.

Healthcare financing is one of the most challenging problems facing the developing countries populations, especially in African countries. Most of the population in low and middle income countries is neither covered by social security nor have access to health insurance. In developing countries, health financing systems are characterized by a high reliance on external assistance and out-of-pocket payments (SIAPS, 2016). Out-of-pocket payment is the major option to pay for medical care and in case of severe sickness, when specialized treatment at a higher level is required, many households face difficulties to cover the cost of inpatient care and treatment costs. For many households, it is difficult to find money for seeking health care even for common illnesses in certain periods of the year (Yared, 2009).

The aim of Universal Health Coverage (UHC) is to strengthen health system of world population beginning from primary health care. It involves equity in access to health services, good enough of quality of health services, and financial-risk protection. World Health Organization has stated that "UHC is the single most powerful concept that public health has to offer" (WHO, 2010).

As a means to UHC and Ethiopian Health Sector Transformation Plan, Ethiopian Health Insurance Agency introduced two healthcare financing programs; i.e. Social Health Insurance (SHI) for formal sector employees and Community-Based Health Insurance (CBHI) scheme for informal sector employees and rural residents (SIAPS, 2016). The introduction of SHI and CBHI is expected to add considerably to demand for equitable access to quality health and pharmaceuticals services. Hence; to address healthcare financing constraints of rural and poor people, in 2011, CBHI schemes were launched in 13 woredas as pilot to drawing lessons for eventual scale-up countrywide. Following a three-year initial pilot period, an evaluation shows the early successes of the CBHI pilots and the agency began to expand the pilot initiatives to an additional 161 woredas, in 2013 (Solomon F. et al, 2014).

Community-Based Health Insurance (CBHI) schemes are an emerging tool for offering financial protection against the cost of illness or medical bills and improving access to quality health services for low-income rural households who are excluded from formal insurance

(Donfouet & Mahieu, 2012). CBHI is the scheme that requires community members to prepay for health services and it is a program in which the community members pool their resources together to share the financial risks of health care, own the scheme and controls its management, including the premiums collections, make the payment to healthcare providers and the negotiation on the packages the schemes. It is a program that is based on voluntary and collective pooling of health risks and the ownership of schemes is heightened through community participation in scheme design (including setting premiums and benefits) and management (Purohit, 2014).

Nevertheless, the effectiveness and sustainability issue of CBHI scheme is much debatable as a means for UHC goal and to tackle the challenges of providing healthcare for the poor in developing countries without worsening their economic situation. Hence, advocators of the schemes argue that the scheme can be effective for reaching a large number of poor people who would otherwise have no financial protection against the cost of illness, especially in countries where national insurance schemes do not exist and/or where public healthcare funding is insufficient (Collins, et al., 2016). Additionally, CBHIs have the potential to solve many of the problems associated with insuring the informal and poor section of the population. They reduce adverse selection by grouping people together with varying levels of risk and insuring them as a group. And also it has demonstrated promising results for poverty reduction since it reducing the problems related to out-of-pocket payments (Gebremeskel, 2014). However, opponents of the schemes argue that the risk pool is often too small, adverse selection problems are high, the schemes are heavily dependent on subsidies, financial and managerial difficulties arise, and the overall sustainability is not assured (Collins, et al., 2016).

Furthermore; for the above arguments, we can understand that the effectiveness of the scheme is under question. In addition, in Ethiopia, launching and expanding the scheme are not without ups and downs. Many studies show that the schemes are facing different factors which influences its effectiveness and sustainability; such as low revenue mobilization, low financial-risk protection, low enrollment rates, lack of awareness about insurance, adverse selection, non-renewal of membership, and members dissatisfaction on services provided (EHIA, 2015; Gebremeskel, 2014). Hence, assessing the effectiveness of community-based health insurance scheme is an imperative issue to understand its effectiveness, challenges and prospects. Therefore, this study aims to assess the effectiveness of community-based health insurance scheme in Ethiopia from the perspective of UHC goals.

Bennett, et al (2004) explained that CBHI schemes are expected to improve financial risk protection, healthcare utilization, resource mobilization, and quality of healthcare services through cooperative and community efforts. And also these four indicators are the goals of UHC of WHO. The most obvious effect of CBHI schemes is to reduce how much people pay for healthcare when they seek care and members of the schemes are unlikely to need to borrow and go into debt in order to cover health care costs. Fixed and prepayment of premium to CBHI scheme lead to more frequent utilization of healthcare services and less delay in seeking care.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

This paper is qualitative research one and it employed a qualitative research method by applying the basic principles of systematic review in order to synthesize the existing knowledge on the effectiveness of community-based health insurance schemes in Ethiopia. This study relies on previous studies results which were collected from published works on journals, newspapers, and articles on credible and reliable online sources, by using keywords such as CBHI, effectiveness of CBHI, healthcare financing, universal health coverage and the like. Before starting an analysis all the retrieved articles were subjected to content analysis to determine the presence of certain words, i.e. indicators of effectiveness (such as; financial risk protection, healthcare utilization, resource mobilization, and quality of healthcare) have been checked within the material/data collected.

3. REVIEW OF A RELATED LITERATURES

Community-based health insurance is a generic term used to explain the resource mobilization strategy designed for access to health care financing through a greater involvement of the community in its designing and management. The schemes are named differently in different countries/programmes; such as mutual health organizations, community-based health financing, and community-based health insurance which are dominantly used and in this study also. There is no universally accepted definition of CBHI. Its definition varies from country to country and from the scheme to scheme. Thus, in this section we review different definitions of CBHI and conceptualize them.

Community-Based Health Insurance (CBHI) is defined as a risk-pooling mechanism that tries to spread medical expenses across households with different health profiles to prevent catastrophic expenditures that come from unpredicted health events or chronic diseases, and enables cross-subsidies from rich to poor populations (EHIA, 2015). This definition defines CBHI as tool to protect the poor from financial insecurity due to unexpected huge medical

bills. And also it indicates; in some countries, that CBHI schemes are subsidized by the government, without explain which government level; either/both central, regional or/and local government.

Community-based health insurance is also defined as the scheme designed as a means for informal sectors to contribute some amount of money that is owned, designed, and managed by their members, and the schemes are a not-for profit type of health insurance that has been used by poor people to protect themselves against the high costs of seeking medical care and treatment for illness. (Adane, et al., 2014). In this definition, CBHI schemes are not-for-profit institution which designed and managed by their members from informal sectors (i.e. without differentiated rural and urban people) and financed the contributions made by the members.

CBHI schemes are a community based organization that pools risk to cover health care expenditures. Such schemes are well positioned to monitor behavior and enforce contracts while at the same time reaching rural and informal sectors those over looked by many formal insurance policies. (Gebremeskel, 2014). He explained that the scheme is expected to manage members' behavior and implement the contract by good-faith.

Community-based health insurance schemes are one way of mobilizing community resources to share in the financing of local health services. They represent promising mechanisms for increasing rural populations' access to health care and for generating additional financial sources for health. Additionally, they may be seen as a stepping stone to universal coverage (Fonta, 2010). According to Fonta's definition, CBHI is considered as mechanism of resource mobilization from the community to finance health.

Purohit (2014) states two basic underlying assumptions of CBHI to function. The first assumption is based on providing insurance coverage to people in the rural, informal sector and the poor because they have very limited protection from other sources and mostly rely on government services that do not protect them against catastrophic illnesses. The second assumption being that healthcare financing seem particularly relevant to low-income countries where government revenue is limited and there is currently extensive reliance upon out-of-pocket payment. Therefore, CBHI can be seen as one of the potential ways to extending the health insurance to the rural and low income population.

CBHI schemes are characterized as hybrid arrangement from both traditional risk-sharing and formal health insurance policies. Similar to traditional risk sharing systems (like Edir in Ethiopia), CBHI schemes are built upon the principles of social solidarity and common benefits

to provide financial protection against medical expenses, whereas payment of premiums also makes them similar. In contrast, CBHI schemes differs from formal insurance contracts is that CBHIs are non-profit organizations, and therefore the premium is based on the average risk profile of the community as a whole, whereas formal insurance aims at profit maximization and premiums are fixed above the expected risk level of individuals. Unlike formal insurance contracts, members of CBHI are expected to participate in administration and supervision activities the schemes. (Jacobs et al 2008).

CBHI schemes appear appropriate for providing insurance coverage to persons with limited protection from other sources, such as those who are not engaged in formal sector employment. They also seem particularly relevant to low-income countries where government revenue is limited and there is currently extensive reliance upon out-of-pocket payment (Bennett, et al, 2004).

Broadly speaking, CBHI schemes are characterized by the following five major features:

- i). *Risk-sharing*: CBHI schemes are mainly characterized by risk pooling, where it is a prepayment mechanism with pooling of health risks and of funds taking place at the level of the community or a group of people who share common characteristics (such as geographical, occupational ethnic, religious, gender, etc);
- ii). *Community participation*: In CBHI schemes there are participatory decision making and management, by the community;
- iii). Members' financial contribution: Membership contribution/premiums are often a flat rate (community-rating) and are independent of individual health risks. There is a solidarity in the scheme; i.e. risk sharing is as inclusive as possible within a given community;
- iv). *Voluntary membership*: CBHI schemes are often characterized by voluntary affiliation of the community;
- v). Not-for-profit association: The scheme operates on non-profit basis (WHO, 2019).

In CBHI, there is a participatory decision-making and management structures, which is said to improve the transparency and accountability of the scheme. It also has the potential to enhance community empowerment and allow the voicing of community members' concerns and expectations in the management of local health systems. It can also build trust and encourage familiarity with the concept of insurance (WHO, 2010).

In generally, when CBHI schemes are fragmented, voluntary and with/without subsidization for vulnerable population groups, are limited in supporting progress towards universal health coverage. Such CBHI schemes provide only moderate financial protection and access to needed health care for those enrolled. Moreover, those who cannot afford to pay the premiums do not get enrolled, which excludes the poorest and most vulnerable people. CBHIs tend not to be able to cover a large share of those working in the informal sector, which is usually the biggest part of the population. In addition, CBHIs are usually small, separate pools with little capacity for redistributing risks. The rationale of pooling at a level close to the community is contradictory to the principle of accumulating funds and sharing risks in a large pool (WHO, 2019).

Types of CBHI Schemes

As discussed earlier, there are different varieties of resource mobilization strategy designed for access to healthcare financing through a greater involvement of the community, there are different types of CBHI schemes on the basis of their design, community involvement in setting-up, resources mobilization, management and supervision the scheme. The most common forms of the schemes are: (i) Community prepayment health organizations, (ii) Provider based health insurance, and (iii) Government-run but community-involved health insurance.

- i). Community prepayment health organizations: Community prepayment health organizations are characterized by voluntary membership and payments are made in advance in order to cover potential medical expenses. Members of the schemes pay premiums on a regular basis, usually when their incomes are high. Such schemes are often initiated with the technical and financial support of NGOs and thereafter the community takes full responsibility for administering and managing the scheme. Local governments may also play a role in encouraging and supporting the efforts of such schemes. The community participates in designing the scheme and decides on the level of benefit and the corresponding premium. In addition, members participate actively in administration and supervision (Arhin-Tenkorang, 2001).
- **ii). Provider based health insurance schemes:** These types of health insurance schemes are initiated by healthcare providers (such as a town or regional hospitals) to encourage utilization of healthcare services. The schemes mainly cover expensive inpatient care and hospitals and may have recourse to external funds to subsidize service costs. In this scheme, the health care providers are responsible for mobilizing resources and providing health care services. The role of the community in designing and administering the scheme is limited (Anagaw, 2015).

iii). Government run community-involved health insurance: Government run and community-involved health insurance schemes are often linked to formal social insurance programmes with the objective of creating access to a universal health care system (Jakab & Krishnan, 2001). Unlike other models, government initiated schemes often cover both basic curative and inpatient care. The government (central or regional) plays a substantial role in initiating, designing and implementation of such schemes. The participation of the community in such schemes varies substantially across countries (Arhin-Tenkorang, 2001).

To this end; based on this review, we can concluded government-run community-involved insurance schemes appears more effective in-terms of reaching very poor people as compared to provider-based health insurance schemes. However, provider-based health insurance schemes are more effective in providing financial protection for beneficiaries, although it ignores marginalized groups or very poor people.

Theoretical Framework of CBHI Scheme

In this section we review the two major theories (most cited) used to explain the theoretical framework of CBHI. These are Social Capital theory and Social Mobilization theory.

Social capital theory

Social capital is the term used to explain a positive product of human interaction. Social capital is a set of shared values that permits individuals to work together in a group to effectively achieve a common goal. Thus, social capital can be defined as the existence of a certain set of formal or informal networks, values or norms shared among the members of a group that permit cooperation and coordination among them (Fukuyama, 1995). Social capital theory states that social capital consists of characteristics of social organization such as formal and informal networks, norms, and social trust that assist coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit (Putnam, 1993). According to Woolcock and Narayan, (2000), the basic idea of social capital is that a person's family, friends, and associates constitute an important asset, that one can be called on in a crisis, enjoyed for its own sake, and leveraged for material gain.

Social capital can be conceptualized on the different dimensions which have the potential for both positive and negative impacts on development of the specific community and the country to the large. Such dimensions are: (i) *community links* such as extended families, local organizations, clubs, associations and civic groups in small communities to helping each other; (ii) *network links* between similar communities [horizontal] and between different

communities [vertical]; (iii) *institutional links* through the political, legal and cultural environments of communities; and (iv) *societal links* between governments and their citizens through complementarity (Preker, et al.; 2012).

Empirical studies suggest that higher levels of social capital are positively correlated with improved development outcomes in areas such as agriculture, water and sanitation and microcredit in low-income countries (Brown & Ashman, 1996; Woolcock & Narayan, 2000).

According to Woolcock and Narayan (2000), social capital helps the poor to share the risk and vulnerability. Thus, CBHI which aims at risk sharing and vulnerability may be well accepted by a community that possesses a high stock of social capital. A larger level of social capital is associated with a high level of altruism among individuals; this makes it possible to take into consideration the well-being of other members of the group.

The presence of social capital always has a positive effect on a community's welfare. According to Sobel (2002), social capital is explained as circumstances in which individuals can benefit from group membership. Thus, social capital refers to social life networks, norms, and trust that enable households to act together more effectively to pursue shared objectives.

This social capital in the community can be an asset for the development of CBHI, thus contributing to the demand for CBHI at the community level. Due to the nature of CBHI schemes, their success highly depends on the existence and survival of social capital in the community. CBHI can therefore attain sustainability, effectiveness and be long-lasting with the help of social capital in a community; because social capital has a positive influence on the community demand for insurance (Donfouet & Mahieu, 2012).

Social capital is a prerequisite to implement CBHI. Due to the fact that social capital varies among countries, regions and even among localities, the design and action programmes are very specific to the local level. This makes condition makes it difficult to replicate the schemes in other areas (WHO, 2003).

Social Mobility Theory

The concept of social mobilization is defined as the process of bringing together all stakeholders and individual influences to increase awareness of and demand for health care, assist in the delivery of resources and services, and promote sustainable individual and community involvement (WHO. 2020). In other word, social mobilization can also be defined as the use of planned actions and processes to reach, influence, and involve all stakeholders across all relevant/concerned bodies, including the national and community level to raise

awareness, change behavior, change policy, demand a specific development programme, or reallocate resources or services'. Social mobilization theory is a theory recognized as effective instrument for health care promotion specially when people are reluctant to respond positively to health programme.

Social mobilization is a multi-level, dynamic approach that can be initiated either top-down or bottomup. Community is perceived in its broadest sense to include all those who have a role and responsibility in effecting change. As information is made available and understandable to both experts and lay people, broad ownership and popular support are created (Russell and Levitt-Dayal, 2003). Hence, due to the inability of governments to reach rural people and the informal sector, communities have highly been mobilizing themselves to secure financial protection against the cost of illness (Preker, et al. 2012).

The social mobilization approach can be used in different health care programs including family planning, community based health insurance, and so on. A community based health insurance like any other health program, to be effective, needs a multipronged approach and broaden public support through social mobilization. In case of CBHI, given the fact that most of people are unaware about benefits of health insurance, community needs to be mobilized in order to understand and to adhere to the programme. It should also be noted that the CBHI to be sustainable needs mobilization for human and financial resources.

4. CHALLENGES OF COMMUNITY-BASED HEALTH INSURANCE

The operation of the CBHI scheme is not free from the problem/s that limits the effectiveness of the scheme from its primary objective. The major challenges are review as follows:

Adverse Selection

In CBHI adverse selection occurs when high-risk or sick individuals or households are more likely to become a member of the scheme than the low-risk or healthy individuals. Empirical studies show that individuals with better health were less likely to enroll in CBHI schemes (Ahmed, et al 2018; and Purohit, 2014). Voluntary membership to the scheme is the risk factor of adverse selection that may challenge financial sustainability of the scheme.

To enhance sustainability of CBHI schemes, it is important to balance strategies promoting enrollment and access, with strategies that would help minimize adverse selection problem in the CBHI schemes; as an example, (i) mandatory enrollment of all individuals/ households in the catchment area/district of the scheme; since it decreases adverse selection by lowering probability of having only sick individuals enrolled in the scheme; (ii) setting different premiums for individuals having different risk levels ; (iii) extending the waiting period for accessing healthcare after enrolled into the scheme; (iv) enrolling low-risk people only (Fadlallah et al. 2018).

Limited Risk Pool

CBHI schemes have failed to address the very low enrollment rates and lack of risk pooling for the people in unorganized sector. Because it is still constrained by limited membership contributions from low-income informal sector members (Bhat & Jain, 2006). According to the study conducted in China, there is a low demand despite great need for people to join such schemes. This is because CBHIs has a feature of voluntary contribution from its members. However, there are many poor people who cannot even afford minimum premium for the schemes and as a result their needs are not converted into demands (Liu and Hsiao, 2003). The study conducted by Macha et al. (2014) also reveals that lack of understanding of the risk pooling principle was a factor limiting enrolment and explaining drop out and finally leads the scheme to the question to continue or not.

Even though initial uptake is high, high dropout rates highly impend the sustainability of CBHI schemes. As existing literatures shows that there is high rate of non-renewal membership I.D card due to different reasons. The factors that are most likely to influence renewal rates of membership are health status of the households, quality of service offered, affordability of premium, lack of understanding about the scheme and insufficient information on how to use the insurance policy are the key challenges for success of the scheme.

To address this problem, greater awareness creation campaign to the community and understanding about the insurance concept is required. Existing literatures also indicates that informal sector of urban residents are ignored from equitable access for health service, however, it enables the government to attain UHC goal and enable the scheme for sharing the risk on large number of people.

Limited Financial Risk Protection

CBHI schemes are expected to provide financial risk protection by reduce out-of-pocket spending of their members, while increasing their utilization of health care services (Jakab & Krishnan, 2001). However, due to low risk pooling and revenue collection from their members in the form of premiums are very low and low subsidies from the government leads to limits financial risk protection for their members. CBHIs have really challenged in extending coverage to the needy and eligible people and limited to broaden health service coverages,

because there is low risk pool and the schemes are failed to increase peoples' interest to capture large number of people which leads to low enrollment rates.

The effectiveness of financial risk protection offered by an individual CBHI scheme can be measured by extent to which the benefit package offered covers a comprehensive package of services, particularly higher cost services. If high cost services (commonly used) are excluded from the benefit package, then effective financial risk protection may be limited or under the question. However, WHO suggested that the CBHI schemes are not be expected to provide a greater source of financing or coverage, hence policy option for financial protection arrangements such as compulsory membership and the subsidies by government for those unable to pay have shown more potential to reach UHC goals than voluntary membership.

Lack of Quality Service and Availability

Quality and availability of health service is one of the main supply side factors identified to limit enrolment to the scheme. The quality of healthcare services can be measured in different dimensions; such as availability of drugs, diagnostic equipment, referral system, waiting time, staff motivation & availability, and so on. Low quality of healthcare services at public facilities was one of the main factors discouraging people from joining the scheme and limits renewal of membership. When the drugs are out-of-the-stock, the scheme members have to buy it from private pharmacies at high cost (Macha et al.; 2014). Availability of health care (particularly hospital care) is also an issue; in some schemes are too remote from any hospital, or desired services are simply not offered at the local health post/centers. In such cases, the schemes may contract with regional-level hospitals and include transportation costs to those hospitals in their benefits packages (Bennett et al, 2004). Uncertainty related to the availability of medical supplies and limited working hours at the dispensary also creates non-renewal membership I.D. card which affects effectiveness and sustainability of the scheme (Macha et al.; 2014).

Furthermore, another quality related problem in the schemes is maltreatment by health care providers/workers, that is unfair treatment between card user (members of the scheme) and cash payers (non-members). This is due to the fact that non-member patients immediately pay their medical costs in-cash (i.e. what the health service provider needs, may be by considering time value of money), whereas members of the schemes use membership I.D. card only to get services from the providers. Therefore, this maltreatment creates members' dissatisfaction which leads to non-renewal their I.D. cards and also discourages prospective members to become a member and which negatively affects sustainability of the scheme.

Lack of professional and committed management

On the other hand, lack of skilled manpower and their low concerns and commitments from the stakeholders (such as; community, management and service providers) are management related challenges that affects the schemes effectiveness and sustainability (Gutama, 2019). This is might be due to poor incentives for the management of the schemes and service providers, which should be considered. Such management related challenges are responsible for the creation of the such problems as; low awareness creation, boring claims procedures, and longtime taking for claim settlement. Thus, to increase the schemes sustainability, increasing number of members and retain the existing members the claims procedures of the schemes should be simplified and time lag between hospital discharge to reimbursements of medical expenses to the beneficiaries should be highly reduced. (Purohit, 2014). Poor communication and information flow between people who are managing the schemes and the beneficiaries limits the schemes' utilization and effectiveness. Better and clear communication has been described as a sufficient condition for poor members to enjoy greater share of scheme benefit (Ranson, et al., 2007).

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of the 'Universal Health Coverage' declaration of United Nations; the establishment of the health financing system that are all inclusive, sustainable, and suitable to the socioeconomic situations of individuals in formal sector, rural people and informal sector workers in the urban population becomes the mandatory and the only option for many governments of low-and-middle income countries, including Ethiopia. Hence, for Ethiopian people in rural area and informal sector, CBHI schemes are proposed as the best option to improve healthcare financing and utilization health services. Thus, this study aims to assess the effectiveness of **community based health insurance experience in Ethiopia from UHC goals perspective**.

From the review related literatures we conclude that the community-based health insurance schemes have the potential to reaching a large number of low-income people by creating awareness regarding the importance of insurance and minimizing the equity gap by; providing financial protection for informal segments of the population, reducing the problems related to out-of-pocket payments, building self-belief among participants through community control mechanisms, and enhancing utilization of the health care scheme. This is due to the fact that fixed and prepayment of premium to CBHI scheme lead to more frequent utilization of health care services and less delay in seeking care and members of the schemes are unlikely to need to borrow and go into debt in order to cover health care costs. However, the poor and workers

in informal sectors in urban excluded groups from the schemes and needs governments due considerations. At the same time, there is large variation in the share of CBHI schemes in the total resources of local health systems which demands the continuous and rigorous evaluation of the resource generation capacity of the schemes.

Additionally, the study reveals that the CBHI schemes are successful on a few indicators of effectiveness. The schemes are effective on financial risk protection and improved utilization of health services. But, the schemes are ineffective and unsuccessful on the enrolment rate, revenue mobilization, equitable access to healthcare services, and improves healthcare services. Thus, with due consideration these problems requires national campaign on awareness creation by all stakeholders.

The study also shows that the major challenges of the schemes. For instance; adverse selection due to voluntary membership to the scheme, limited risk pool which creates from lack of understanding of the risk pooling principle was a factor limiting enrolment and explaining drop out, poor financial risk protection due to low risk pooling and revenue collection from their members in the form of premiums are very low and low subsidies from the government, lack of quality service that discouraging people to joining the scheme and limits them from renewal of membership, and lack of professional and standardized management that comes from lack of skilled manpower and low concerns and commitments from the stakeholders.

Finally, this paper also revealed that the CBHI schemes are need of external sources of finance to be effective on providing financial protection and expanding access to healthcare services but not at reaching out to the marginalized groups. This suggests that subsidies are more likely to increase financial protection and expanding access to healthcare by the scheme. Related to its type, the scheme in which the community plays a significant role in designing and management is better at ensuring access to health care and financial protection.

REFERENCES

- Acharya, A. S., Vellakkal, F., Taylor, E., Masset, A., Satija, M., Burke, & S. Ebrahim, S. (2013). The impact of health insurance schemes for the informal sector in Low- and Middle-Income countries: A Systematic Review. *Policy Research Working Paper 6324, World Bank*.
- Adane, K., Measho, G., & Mezgebu Y. (2014). Willingness to pay for community based health insurance among households in the rural community of Fogera district, North West

Ethiopia. Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences, 2(4), 263-269. doi:10.11648/j.ijefm.20140204.15

- Ahmed, S., Sarker, A. R., Sultana, M., Chakrovorty, S., Hasan, Z., Mirelman, A. J., & Khan,
 J. A. M. (2018). Adverse Selection in Community Based Health Insurance among Informal Workers in Bangladesh: An EQ-5D Assessment. *International Journal Environmental Research and Public Health*. doi:10.3390/ijerph 15020242
- Ahuja, R. & Jütting, J. (2004). Are the poor too poor to demand health insurance? *Journal of Microfinance/ESR Review, 6*(1): 1-20.
- Anagaw, D. M. (2015). Essays on evaluating a community based health insurance scheme in rural Ethiopia. Thesis to obtain the degree of Doctor from the Erasmus University Rotterdam, Netherlands.
- Arhin-Tenkorang, D. (2001). Health insurance for the informal sector in Africa: design features, risk protection, and resource mobilization. WHO/Commission on Macroeconomics and Health. Working Paper No. WG3:1. World Bank, Geneva.
- Bennett, S., Kelley, A.G., Silvers, B., Gadhia, R., & Salamata, L. (2004). 21 questions on CBHF: An overview of community-based health financing. *Partners for Health Reform plus*, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.
- Bhat, R. & Jain, N. (2006). Factors affecting the demand for health insurance in a micro insurance scheme. Working Paper №: 07-02, *India Institute of Management*, Ahmadabad.
- Centre for Development and Population Activities (CEDPA) (2000). Social mobilization for reproductive health: A trainer's manual. Washington, DC.
- Cochran, W. G. (1963). Sampling techniques (2nd Ed.). New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Collins, D., Kalisa, I., Musange, S., Saya, U., & Kunda. T. (2016): The development of community-based health insurance in Rwanda: Experiences and Lessons: *Technical Brief*
- Doetinchem, O., Carrin, G., & Evans, D. (2010). *Thinking of introducing social health insurance?* World Health Report. Background Paper, No 26
- Donfouet, H.P., & Mahieu, P. (2012). Community-based health insurance and social capital: a review. *Health Econ Rev 2*(5). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/2191-1991-2-5
- Ethiopian Health Insurance Agency. (2015). *Evaluation of community-based health insurance pilot schemes in Ethiopia:* Final report. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

- Fonta, W. M., Ichoku H. E & Ataguba, J. E. (2010). Paying for community-based health insurance schemes in rural Nigeria: The use of in-kind payments. *African review of money finance and banking*
- Fukuyama, F. (1995). Trust: *The social virtues and the creation of prosperity*. New York: Free Press.
- Gutama, N. (2019). Assessing the practices and challenges of community based health insurance in Ethiopia: The case of Oromia national regional state district of Gimbichu. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 7(5), 734-754. DOI URL: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/</u> IJAR01/9095
- Hong, W., & Pielemeier, N. (2012). Community-based health insurance: An evolutionary approach to achieving universal coverage in low-income countries. *Journal of Life Sciences 6*: 320-329.
- Jacobs, B., M. Bigdeli, M. Pelt, P. Ir, C. Salze and B. Criel (2008). Bridging community-based health insurance and social protection for health care: A step in the direction of universal coverage? *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, 13(2), 140-143.
- Jakab, M. & Krishnan, C. (2013). Community involvement in health care financing: Impact, strengths and weaknesses. A Synthesis of the Literature.
- Liu, Y. & Hsiao, W.C. (2003). For the people, by the people: Community financing of healthcare in developing countries. *Harvard Health Policy Review*, **4**, 102-111.
- Macha, J., Kuwawenaruwa, A., Makawia, S., Mtei, G. & Borghi, J. (2014). Determinants of community health fund membership in Tanzania: a mixed methods analysis. *BMC Health Services Research*. Retrived from: <u>http://www.biomedcentral</u>. com/1472-6963/14/538
- McKee, N. (1992). Social mobilization and social marketing in developing countries: Lessons for communicators. Penang: Southbound.
- Preker, A. S., Carrin, G., Dror, D., Jakab, M., Hsiao, W., Arhin-Tenkorang, D. (2002). Effectiveness of community health financing in meeting the cost of illness. *Bull World Health Organ; 80*(2):143-50. PMID: 11953793; PMCID: PMC2567719.
- Purohit, B. (2014) Community based health insurance in India: Prospects and Challenges. *Health*, 6,1237-1245. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/health.2014.611152</u>
- Putnam, R. (1993). Making democracy work: Civic traditions in modern Italy. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Putnam, R. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Ranson, M. K. (2002). Reduction of catastrophic health care expenditures by a communitybased health insurance scheme in Gujarat, India: Current experiences and challenges. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 80, 613-621.
- Ranson, M. K., Sinha, T., Chatterjee, M., Gandhi, F., Jayswal, R., Patel, F., Morris, S. S., & Mills, A. J. (2007). Equitable utilization of indian community based health insurance scheme among its rural membership: Cluster randomized controlled trial. *British Medical Journal*, 334, 1282-12783. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39192.719583.AE</u>
- Russell, N. & Levitt-Dayal, M. (2003). Igniting change: Accelerating collective action for reproductive health and safe motherhood. *A Joint Thematic Paper of the ENABLE Project and The Maternal and Neonatal Health Program.*
- Shemeles A. (2012). Community based health insurance scheme in Africa, the case of Rwanda, *Africa Development Bank. Working paper*, 2012 (120): 13-7.
- Solomon, F., Workie, M., Hailu, Z., & Ashagari, T. D. (2015). Ethiopia's community-based health insurance: A step on the road to universal health coverage.
- Systems for Improved Access to Pharmaceuticals and Services, (2016). Ethiopian national health insurance scale-up assessment on medicines financing, use, and benefit management: findings, implications, and recommendations. *Submitted to the United States Agency for International Development by the Systems for Improved Access to Pharmaceuticals and Services (SIAPS) Program. Arlington, VA: Management Sciences for Health.*
- Uzochukwu, B. S., Ughasoro, M. D., Etiaba, E., Okwuosa, C., Envuladu, E., Onwujekwe, O. E. (2015). Health care financing in Nigeria: implications for achieving universal health coverage. Niger J Clin Pract. 2015;18(4):437–444.
- Woolcock, M, & Narayan, D. (2000). Social capital: Implications for development theory, research, and policy. *World Bank, Research Observer* 225-249.
- World Health Organization (2003). Social health insurance. Report of a regional expert group meeting. regional office for South-East Asia, New Delhi, India
- World Health Organization (2019). Characteristics of community-based health insurance. Retrieved on December 15, 2019, from: <u>https://www.who.int/health_financing/topics/</u> <u>community-based-healthinsurance/key-characteristics/en/</u>

World Health Organization (2020). Community-based health insurance. Retrieved on October 28, 2020; from: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/community-based-health-insurance-2020</u>



Harla Journal of Sustainable Development in Business and Economics is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. (Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License)